

Table 1. Median values and 68% confidence interval for OGLE-TR-1085.

Parameter	Units	Values
Stellar Parameters:		
M_*	Mass (M_\odot)	$0.924^{+0.042}_{-0.40}$
R_*	Radius (R_\odot)	$1.71^{+0.23}_{-0.15}$
$R_{*,SED}$	Radius ¹ (R_\odot)	$1.81^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$
L_*	Luminosity (L_\odot)	$1.89^{+0.39}_{-0.38}$
F_{Bol}	Bolometric Flux (cgs)	$0.0000000002351^{+0.00000000000091}_{-0.00000000000014}$
ρ_*	Density (cgs)	$0.261^{+0.083}_{-0.16}$
$\log g$	Surface gravity (cgs)	$3.938^{+0.079}_{-0.37}$
T_{eff}	Effective Temperature (K)	5220^{+150}_{-350}
$T_{eff,SED}$	Effective Temperature ¹ (K)	5060^{+140}_{-210}
[Fe/H]	Metallicity (dex)	$-0.19^{+0.15}_{-2.5}$
[Fe/H] ₀	Initial Metallicity ²	$-0.16^{+0.17}_{-2.5}$
Age	Age (Gyr)	$11.5^{+1.6}_{-12}$
EEP	Equal Evolutionary Phase ³	$458.9^{+3.1}_{-360}$
A_V	V-band extinction (mag)	$1.669^{+0.027}_{-0.076}$
σ_{SED}	SED photometry error scaling	$12.2^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$
ϖ	Parallax (mas)	$0.621^{+0.056}_{-0.047}$
d	Distance (pc)	1610 ± 130
Planetary Parameters:		
		b
P	Period (days)	$3.636724^{+0.000015}_{-0.000037}$
R_P	Radius (R_J)	$0.942^{+0.076}_{-0.044}$
M_P	Mass ⁴ (M_J)	34^{+23}_{-21}
T_C	Time of conjunction ⁵ (BJD _{TDB})	$2455377.8549^{+0.0059}_{-0.0083}$
T_T	Time of minimum projected separation ⁶ (BJD _{TDB})	$2455377.8549^{+0.0059}_{-0.0083}$
T_0	Optimal conjunction Time ⁷ (BJD _{TDB})	$2455603.3311^{+0.0068}_{-0.0073}$
a	Semi-major axis (AU)	$0.04551^{+0.00091}_{-0.0073}$
i	Inclination (Degrees)	$81.49^{+0.56}_{-3.7}$
T_{eq}	Equilibrium temperature ⁸ (K)	1573^{+96}_{-130}
τ_{circ}	Tidal circularization timescale (Gyr)	39^{+17}_{-28}
K	RV semi-amplitude ⁴ (m/s)	4900^{+4000}_{-3100}
R_P/R_*	Radius of planet in stellar radii	$0.0562^{+0.0055}_{-0.0031}$
a/R_*	Semi-major axis in stellar radii	$5.73^{+0.57}_{-1.5}$
δ	$(R_P/R_*)^2$	$0.00316^{+0.00065}_{-0.00034}$
δ_I	Transit depth in I (fraction)	$0.00285^{+0.00035}_{-0.00030}$
δ_V	Transit depth in V (fraction)	$0.00255^{+0.00028}_{-0.00039}$
τ	Ingress/egress transit duration (days)	$0.0244^{+0.012}_{-0.0038}$
T_{14}	Total transit duration (days)	$0.129^{+0.028}_{-0.024}$

Table 1 continued on next page

Table 1 (continued)

Parameter	Units	Values	
T_{FWHM} . . .	FWHM transit duration (days)	0.107 ^{+0.015} _{-0.029}	
b	Transit Impact parameter	0.869 ^{+0.042} _{-0.031}	
$\delta_{S,2.5\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 2.5 μm (ppm)	161 ⁺⁵⁷ ₋₁₄	
$\delta_{S,5.0\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 5.0 μm (ppm)	447 ⁺⁷³ ₋₃₉	
$\delta_{S,7.5\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 7.5 μm (ppm)	592 ⁺⁷⁷ ₋₄₉	
ρ_P	Density ⁴ (cgs)	52 ⁺²⁹ ₋₃₅	
$\log g_P$	Surface gravity ⁴	4.99 ^{+0.19} _{-0.48}	
Θ	Safronov Number	3.8 ^{+3.2} _{-2.4}	
$\langle F \rangle$	Incident Flux (10 ⁹ erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	1.39 ^{+0.37} _{-0.39}	
T_P	Time of Periastron (BJD _{TDB})	2455377.8549 ^{+0.0059} _{-0.0083}	
T_S	Time of eclipse (BJD _{TDB})	2455376.0366 ^{+0.0058} _{-0.0084}	
T_A	Time of Ascending Node (BJD _{TDB})	2455380.5825 ^{+0.0059} _{-0.0082}	
T_D	Time of Descending Node (BJD _{TDB})	2455378.7641 ^{+0.0059} _{-0.0083}	
V_c/V_e	1.00	
$M_P \sin i$	Minimum mass ⁴ (M_J)	33 ⁺²³ ₋₂₁	
M_P/M_*	Mass ratio ⁴	0.039 ^{+0.034} _{-0.023}	
d/R_*	Separation at mid transit	5.73 ^{+0.57} _{-1.5}	
P_T	A priori non-grazing transit prob	0.164 ^{+0.060} _{-0.015}	
$P_{T,G}$	A priori transit prob	0.184 ^{+0.066} _{-0.016}	
Wavelength Parameters:		I	V
u_1	linear limb-darkening coeff	0.325 ^{+0.049} _{-0.11}	0.553 ^{+0.066} _{-0.17}
u_2	quadratic limb-darkening coeff	0.268 ^{+0.087} _{-0.077}	0.228 ^{+0.074} _{-0.066}
Transit Parameters:		OGLE UT 2010-06-30 (I)	OGLE UT 2010-06-30 (V)
σ^2	Added Variance	0.00002251 ^{+0.00000035} _{-0.00000032}	0.0000186 ^{+0.0000023} _{-0.0000032}
F_0	Baseline flux	1.000227 ^{+0.000032} _{-0.000049}	1.00040 ^{+0.00038} _{-0.00075}

See Table 3 in Eastman, J. et al., 2019, arXiv:1907.09480 for a detailed description of all parameters

¹This value ignores the systematic error and is for reference only

²The metallicity of the star at birth

³Corresponds to static points in a star's evolutionary history. See §2 in Dotter, A., 2016, ApJS, 222, 8

⁴Uses measured radius and estimated mass from Chen, J., & Kipping, D. 2017, ApJ, 834, 17

⁵Time of conjunction is commonly reported as the "transit time"

⁶Time of minimum projected separation is a more correct "transit time"

⁷Optimal time of conjunction minimizes the covariance between T_C and Period

⁸Assumes no albedo and perfect redistribution