

Table 1. Median values and 68% confidence interval for OGLE-TR-1022.

Parameter	Units	Values
Stellar Parameters:		
M_*	Mass (M_\odot)	$0.877^{+0.062}_{-0.057}$
R_*	Radius (R_\odot)	$2.45^{+0.55}_{-0.23}$
$R_{*,SED}$	Radius ¹ (R_\odot)	$2.60^{+0.44}_{-0.21}$
L_*	Luminosity (L_\odot)	$10.6^{+2.3}_{-1.6}$
F_{Bol}	Bolometric Flux (cgs)	$0.00000000097 \pm 0.00000000017$
ρ_*	Density (cgs)	$0.084^{+0.029}_{-0.040}$
$\log g$	Surface gravity (cgs)	$3.603^{+0.087}_{-0.18}$
T_{eff}	Effective Temperature (K)	6630^{+370}_{-590}
$T_{eff,SED}$	Effective Temperature ¹ (K)	6480^{+330}_{-490}
[Fe/H]	Metallicity (dex)	$-4.22^{+0.30}_{-0.14}$
[Fe/H] ₀	Initial Metallicity ²	$-3.898^{+0.21}_{-0.075}$
Age	Age (Gyr)	$8.5^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$
EEP	Equal Evolutionary Phase ³	$462.8^{+10}_{-5.5}$
A_V	V-band extinction (mag)	$2.52^{+0.65}_{-0.81}$
σ_{SED}	SED photometry error scaling	$14.1^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$
ϖ	Parallax (mas)	$0.535^{+0.037}_{-0.051}$
d	Distance (pc)	1870^{+200}_{-120}
Planetary Parameters:		
		b
P	Period (days)	$8.102822^{+0.000045}_{-0.000039}$
R_P	Radius (R_J)	$1.36^{+0.38}_{-0.15}$
M_P	Mass ⁴ (M_J)	30^{+120}_{-29}
T_C	Time of conjunction ⁵ (BJD _{TDB})	$2455383.7024^{+0.0085}_{-0.0090}$
T_T	Time of minimum projected separation ⁶ (BJD _{TDB})	$2455383.7024^{+0.0085}_{-0.0090}$
T_0	Optimal conjunction Time ⁷ (BJD _{TDB})	$2456834.1077^{+0.0044}_{-0.0041}$
a	Semi-major axis (AU)	$0.0771^{+0.0029}_{-0.0021}$
i	Inclination (Degrees)	$84.7^{+1.4}_{-2.4}$
T_{eq}	Equilibrium temperature ⁸ (K)	1808^{+71}_{-67}
τ_{circ}	Tidal circularization timescale (Gyr)	220^{+370}_{-210}
K	RV semi-amplitude ⁴ (m/s)	3300^{+12000}_{-3200}
R_P/R_*	Radius of planet in stellar radii	$0.0573^{+0.0022}_{-0.0020}$
a/R_*	Semi-major axis in stellar radii	$6.73^{+0.66}_{-1.1}$
δ	$(R_P/R_*)^2$	$0.00329^{+0.00026}_{-0.00022}$
δ_I	Transit depth in I (fraction)	$0.00344^{+0.00019}_{-0.00020}$
δ_V	Transit depth in V (fraction)	$0.00355^{+0.00021}_{-0.00019}$
τ	Ingress/egress transit duration (days)	$0.0283^{+0.014}_{-0.0054}$
T_{14}	Total transit duration (days)	$0.331^{+0.014}_{-0.011}$

Table 1 continued on next page

Table 1 (continued)

Parameter	Units	Values	
T_{FWHM} . . .	FWHM transit duration (days)	0.3014 ^{+0.0094} _{-0.0095}	
b	Transit Impact parameter	0.63 ^{+0.13} _{-0.12}	
$\delta_{S,2.5\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 2.5 μm (ppm)	197 ⁺⁴⁵ ₋₂₈	
$\delta_{S,5.0\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 5.0 μm (ppm)	456 ⁺⁸⁸ ₋₅₁	
$\delta_{S,7.5\mu m}$. . .	Blackbody eclipse depth at 7.5 μm (ppm)	582 ⁺¹¹⁰ ₋₆₁	
ρ_P	Density ⁴ (cgs)	22 ⁺²⁶ ₋₂₂	
$\log g_P$	Surface gravity ⁴	4.73 ^{+0.43} _{-1.5}	
Θ	Safronov Number	4.4 ⁺¹² _{-4.3}	
$\langle F \rangle$	Incident Flux (10 ⁹ erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	2.43 ^{+0.41} _{-0.34}	
T_P	Time of Periastron (BJD _{TDB})	2455383.7024 ^{+0.0085} _{-0.0090}	
T_S	Time of eclipse (BJD _{TDB})	2455387.7538 ^{+0.0085} _{-0.0090}	
T_A	Time of Ascending Node (BJD _{TDB})	2455389.7795 ^{+0.0085} _{-0.0090}	
T_D	Time of Descending Node (BJD _{TDB})	2455385.7281 ^{+0.0085} _{-0.0090}	
V_c/V_e	1.00	
$M_P \sin i$	Minimum mass ⁴ (M_J)	30 ⁺¹²⁰ ₋₂₉	
M_P/M_*	Mass ratio ⁴	0.033 ^{+0.13} _{-0.032}	
d/R_*	Separation at mid transit	6.73 ^{+0.66} _{-1.1}	
P_T	A priori non-grazing transit prob	0.140 ^{+0.026} _{-0.012}	
$P_{T,G}$	A priori transit prob	0.157 ^{+0.030} _{-0.014}	
Wavelength Parameters:		I	V
u_1	linear limb-darkening coeff	0.199 ^{+0.052} _{-0.053}	0.344 ^{+0.053} _{-0.048}
u_2	quadratic limb-darkening coeff	0.291 \pm 0.053	0.303 ^{+0.050} _{-0.052}
Transit Parameters:		OGLE UT 2010-07-06 (I)	OGLE UT 2010-07-06 (V)
σ^2	Added Variance	0.00000208 ^{+0.00000014} _{-0.00000013}	0.0000257 ^{+0.0000035} _{-0.0000032}
F_0	Baseline flux	0.999994 ^{+0.000033} _{-0.000034}	0.99976 ^{+0.00039} _{-0.00040}

See Table 3 in Eastman, J. et al., 2019, arXiv:1907.09480 for a detailed description of all parameters

¹This value ignores the systematic error and is for reference only

²The metallicity of the star at birth

³Corresponds to static points in a star's evolutionary history. See §2 in Dotter, A., 2016, ApJS, 222, 8

⁴Uses measured radius and estimated mass from Chen, J., & Kipping, D. 2017, ApJ, 834, 17

⁵Time of conjunction is commonly reported as the "transit time"

⁶Time of minimum projected separation is a more correct "transit time"

⁷Optimal time of conjunction minimizes the covariance between T_C and Period

⁸Assumes no albedo and perfect redistribution